

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/626,810	Applicant(s) LOWREY ET AL.	
	Examiner Ronnie Mancho	Art Unit 3663	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ronnie Mancho.

(3) STEPHEN GLAZIER  
(IN PERSON)

(2) Jack Keith.

(4) ERIC BERKOBIN  
(BY PHONE)

Date of Interview: 15 January 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: Independent claims

Identification of prior art discussed: SPAIR.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

- Discussed 112 rejection. Applicant agrees to change the language in the 112 rejection to correspond to the language in the specification. e.g. replacing "automatically, repeatedly" with language in specification. 112 will be withdrawn pending proposed change.
- Agreed withdrawing 112 rejection on "selectors"
- Agreed to withdraw 102 rejection and do another search. Will search - On Ster -
- Discussed possible double patenting with 6611740
- Agreement does not imply that claims are allowable.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required